

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,536	03/17/2004	Alan Douglas Clark	041253.008CONT	3505	
25461	25461 7590 08/10/2005			EXAMINER	
	MBRELL & RUSSEL	HO, DUC CHI			
1230 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. SUITE 3100, PROMENADE II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3592			2665		

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/802,536	CLARK, ALAN E	CLARK, ALAN DOUGLAS				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Duc C. Ho	2665					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR ISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a so Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	2 June 2005.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-10, and 23-30 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	l Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)			•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)				

Prosecution Reopened on Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-30 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Nils Olof Johannesson. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-2, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nils Olof Johannesson (The ETSI Computation Model: A tool for transmission planning of telephone networks, IEEE Comms-IDS record), hereinafter referred to as Johannesson.

Regarding claim 1, Johannesson discloses the E model for evaluating communication quality in telephone networks. Figures 3-4-page 74 illustrate GOB, POW, TME, and MOS used to produce the reference impairment as a function of factor R in the E-model.

during more than one interval of a single call determining the level of one or more impairments (The E model combines the effect of the various transmission parameters into a rating factor R, from which user reaction for a call under more than one interval assumption can be predicted. The rating factor R includes Is, Id, and Ie, which are

page 72),

impairment factors, i.e., the values corresponding to codec impairment and expectation factor are shown in tables 1-2, and figures 3-4, pages 73-74, see also Equation (1)-

during more than one interval of that same single call determining the effect of said one or more impairments on the estimated subjective quality of said multimedia signal (the E-model can be used to evaluate or to estimate the effects of impairment on the speech communication quality or subjective quality, see page 71-right col. and page 74).

Regarding claim 2, in Johannesson the impairments are selected from the group consisting of delay, noise, etc, see page 72-73.

Regarding claims 21-22, these claims have similar limitations as claims 1-2. Therefore, they are rejected under Johannesson for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2, respectively.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 11-20 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 3-10, and 23-30 are objected to as being independent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3147.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

reclutto

Duc Ho

08-05-05